



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
WWW.USPTO.GOV

Paper No. None

Steven C Bauman  
Loctite Corporation  
1001 Trout Brook Crossing  
Rocky Hill CT 06067

**COPY MAILED**

SEP 07 2004

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	
Hsien-Kun Chu	:	
Application No. 10/031,361	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: January 18, 2002	:	
Attorney Docket No.: LC-366-PCT-US	:	
Title: RESIN-REINFORCED UV, \	:	
MOISTURE AND UV/MOISTURE DUAL	:	
CURABLE SILICONE COMPOSITIONS	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR § 1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, filed July 2, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to submit the issue fee in a timely manner in reply to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, mailed December 19, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time are permitted for transmitting issue fees<sup>2</sup>. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on March 20, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed May 7, 2004.

37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) was unintentional. Since the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being

<sup>1</sup> A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

<sup>2</sup> See MPEP §710.02(e).

construed as the statement required by 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)(3) and petitioner must notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.

With the instant petition, Petitioner has met the requirements to revive the above-identified application, pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Petitioner submitted the required reply in the form of the filing of the issue fee, the payment of the petition fee, and has made a statement which is being construed as the proper statement of unintentional delay.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

After this decision is mailed, the application will be forwarded to the Office of Patent Publication for further processing into a patent.

Questions pertaining to this application should be directed towards the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8497.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0011. Please note that on approximately September 28, 2004, the Office of Petitions will relocate to the new PTO location in Alexandria. Although the mailing address will remain the same, the general phone number for the Office of Petitions which should be used for status requests will change to 571-272-3282, and the telephone number for the undersigned will change to 571-272-3225.



**Paul Shanowski**  
**Senior Attorney**  
**Office of Petitions**  
**United States Patent and Trademark Office**